



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/675,116	09/28/2000	Grzegorz J. Czajkowski	SUN-P5075-RSH 9136		
22835 7:	590 04/05/2004	EXAMINER			
PARK, VAUGHAN & FLEMING LLP 508 SECOND STREET SUITE 201			ALI, S	ALI, SYED J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DAVIS, CA	95616		2127	0	
			DATE MAILED: 04/05/2004	4 b	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, i	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/675,116	CZAJKOWSKI ET AL.			
·	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Syed J Ali	2127			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 16 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		•			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: ∠	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	,				
• • • • • •	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None Claim(s) objected to: None Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,6-11,13-18,20 and 21. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
□ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10.⊠ Other: See Continuation Sheet					
- Infoz					
	MRN	G-ÁÍ T AN			

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicant has amended independent claims 1, 8, and 15 to include limitations from previously dependent claims 5, 12, and 19 (now cancelled). These limitations are related to "updating pointers to data items" after process migration (Amendment B, page 9). Applicant argues that neither Gosling or Jagannathan, either separately or in combination, teach or suggest updating pointers to data items after process migration. However, Jagannathan teaches this limitation, specifically that a global identifier is needed to locate an object within the system, and this needs to be updated after a process has migrated (col. 21 lines 7-27, "Forwarding pointers are needed if the object migrates from its original home. References which touch a forwarding pointer are updated to reflect the object's new location"). This teaching of Jagannathan allows a process to migrate, while allowing a process and its associated data objects to be easily located regardless of where it presently resides within the distributed system.